



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,105	01/14/2000	Thomas J. Perkowski	100-033USA000	7941

7590 09/16/2002-

Thomas J Perkowski Esq  
Soundview Plaza  
1266 East Main Street  
Stamford, CT 06902

EXAMINER

GART, MATTHEW S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3625

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/483,105	PERKOWSKI, THOMAS J.
	Examiner Matthew S Gart	Art Unit 3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ .

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.

  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_ .  
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)      4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_ .  
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)      5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_ .      6) Other: \_\_\_\_ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Election/Restrictions***

On August 15<sup>th</sup> 2002 I spoke with Thomas J. Perkowski concerning this election/restriction issue. A preliminary amendment was to be faxed to the office concerning claim cancellation. To date that preliminary amendment was not received by the office. Consequently, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- (A) Claims 1-4 and 13-38 disclose an internet-based information system comprising an IP-based network connected to the infrastructure of the Internet by way of an IP-based router
- (B) Claims 5-12 and 40-43 disclose an Internet-enabled bar code driven consumer product information kiosk having embodying e-mail messaging capabilities.
- (C) Claim 39 discloses a retail-based system for installation in retail stores comprising a subsystem of shelves for supporting one or more UPN-encoded products.

Inventions (B) and invention (A) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention as defined by Group (B) has separate utility as defined by Group (A). For example, Group (B) claims an Internet-enabled bar code driven consumer product information kiosk

having embodying e-mail messaging capabilities. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The limitation claimed in Group (B) are not linked or related to those limitations disclosed in Group (A).

Inventions (B) and invention (C) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention as defined by Group (B) has separate utility as defined by Group (C). For example, Group (B) claims an Internet-enabled bar code driven consumer product information kiosk having embodying e-mail messaging capabilities. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The limitation claimed in Group (B) are not linked or related to those limitations disclosed in Group (C).

Inventions (C) and (A) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention as defined by Group (C) has separate utility as defined by Group (A). For example, Group (C) claims a subsystem of shelves for supporting one or more UPN-encoded products. See MPEP § 806.05(d). The limitation of the computational system for enhancing the process of e-commerce claimed in Group (C) are not linked or related to those limitations disclosed in Group (A).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Gart whose telephone number is 703 305 5355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Mon.- Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703 308 1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 7687 for regular communications and 703 305 7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1113.



WYNN W. COGGINS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



MSG

September 9, 2002